

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,021	08/22/2003	Robert H. Ray	RAY-001	9250	
759	90 10/17/2005		EXAMINER		
Robert H. Ray P.O. Box 155			CHAPMAN, J	CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
Igo, CA 96047			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3635	3635	
			D. TT. 144 H. TD. 144 1000	_	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,021	RAY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chapman E. Jeanette	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	une 2005.				
		action is non-final.				
3) 🔲	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 21-36 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-36</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

17

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 21, 26, 29-31, 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. New claims 21-36 are replete with new matter having no support in the original specification. For example, the "elongated embed" and the last 3 lines of claim 1 have no antecedent basis in the original specification. The subject matter of claim 22 regarding the material of construction and the elasticity has no antecedent basis in the original specification. The claims will be considered as originally described. Applicant is advised to file a continuation in part as the subject matter in claims 21-36 lends itself to this type of application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,021

Art Unit: 3635

Claims 21, 23, 27-28 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hageby (3305990). Hageby discloses a system for anchoring a structural member from below the ground comprising:

Page 3

- A vertically disposed anchor footing 1 having a lower base portion and an upper neck portion; (see annotations on patent copy)
- An elongated embed 3, post or pole, longitudinally disposed within the anchor footing along the vertical center of the anchor footing 1
- A coupling interface 5/6/8 affixed to one end of the elongate embed for fixing the structural member to the anchor footing
- The anchor footing has a predetermined amount of horizontal flex ability, as
 evidence by element 5b, the disclosed compressive stresses and the fastening
 elements constructed of elastic material, against horizontal force acting on the
 structural member 3
- The flex amount determined by the physical properties of the elongate embed disposed therein; see column 2, lines 16-65
- The anchor footing is pyramidal in shape
- The base portion is substantially larger than the neck
- Hageby discloses the plurality of posts and thus a plurality of anchoring systems

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3635

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22, 24, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hageby (3305990) in view of Valentz et al (6324800). The method of making and the material of construction are viewed as a matter of choice. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated making the anchor of any suitable and known material which would enable the corresponding and intended function. Further, the method of making is not a top of focus for a claim directed to an article. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated making the anchor using any well known process which would enable the intended structure to be produced.

However, Valentz et al discloses a supporting base or ancor foot made of a moldable polymeric material. The elasticity thereof is controllable to a degree by a particular size of the molding material, and the degree of mold pressure used. No binder or filler is used. However, the same result is achieved without the binder.

Applicant does not discloses the significance or criticality of the binder and the same is viewed as a matter of choice. It would have been obvious to employ another material of construction as taught by Valentz et al to provide a portable, high strength but to some degree flexible base.

Claims 29—36 are grossly replete with new matter and will not be addressed in this application.

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E. Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-thursday, 8:30-6:00, every fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Friedman Carl can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jec

rensie Charlet Vinew Exemilier